

CYTOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE TRIBE *HELIANTHOIDEAE* (ASTERACEAE)

A. K. SARKAR AND D. HAZRA

Botanical Survey of India, Howrah

ABSTRACT

Cytological investigations on 7 taxa of the tribe *Helianthoideae* (Asteraceae) reveal certain interesting features. Meiotic counts reported here are all normal, forming regular bivalents. Karyotypes show homogeneity with graded types of chromosome complement. The occurrence of tetraploid species of *Tridax procumbens* L. ($n = 18$) is of interest. The reports of *Eclipta alba* (L.) Hassk. ($n = 11$, $2n = 22$), *Tithonia tagetiflora* Desf. ex Juss. ($n = 17$), *Xanthium strumarium* L. ($n = 18$) are confirmatory in nature. Aneuploid variations are also noted in some cases.

INTRODUCTION

Hooker (1882) divided the family Compositae into 11 tribes, the tribe *V Helianthoideae* comprising 7 subtribes. Five representative genera of the subtribe as shown below, as also two other genera, *Parthenium* L. and *Tithonia* Desf. ex Juss. not listed by Hooker, were taken for cytological investigation.

Subtribe 4.	<i>Ambrosieae</i>	...	<i>Xanthium</i> L.
5.	<i>Verbesineae</i>	...	<i>Eclipta</i> L. <i>Wedelia</i> Jacq.
6.	<i>Coreosideae</i>	...	<i>Synedrella</i> Gaertn.
7.	<i>Gallinsogaeae</i>	...	<i>Tridax</i> L.

The genera *Tithonia* and *Parthenium* introduced and/or naturalized in India after Hooker f. (1882) were not assigned by him to any of these subtribes. But Bentham and Hooker f. (1873) considered the two genera as belonging to the tribe *Helianthoideae*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seven species cytologically analysed in the present investigations were collected from different localities of West Bengal except for Sl. No. 6 for which material emanated from Ranchi (Bihar).

Name of the taxon	Voucher No.	Locality
1. <i>Tridax procumbens</i> L.	13953	Indian Botanic Garden
2. <i>Wedelia chinensis</i> (Osbeck) Merr.	14115	Indian Botanic Garden
3. <i>Synedrella nodiflora</i> (L.) Gaertn.	13887	Eden Garden
	14123	Indian Botanic Garden
4. <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L.	14064	Santragachi Rly. Station (Howrah)
5. <i>Eclipta alba</i> (L.) Hassk.	14106	Indian Botanic Garden
	14147	Dankuni
6. <i>Tithonia tagetiflora</i> Desf. ex Juss.	13824	Ranchi (Bihar)
7. <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> L.	14701	Ramrajatala (Howrah)

For somatic study, pre-treatment chemicals like aesculine, paradichlorobenzene, oxyquinoline, colchicine etc. in different concentrations for different time periods have been tried. However, best result was obtained in a pre-treatment with soln. of aesculine for 3 hours at $9-12^{\circ}\text{C}$. Root tips were then fixed in acetic acid-ethyl alcohol 1 : 1 for 45 minutes, warmed in 2% acetic-orcein : (N) HCl (9 : 1) over a flame for a few seconds and squashed in 1% aceto-orcein after 3-4 hours.

For meiotic study Belling's aceto-carmin schedule (1928) was followed. From a number of cytological preparations chromosome analysis was made. Meiotic counts reported here

are all from diakinesis stages, none showing any meiotic irregularity but forming regular bivalents.

OBSERVATIONS

1. *Xanthium strumarium* L. ($n=18$)
2. *Eclipta alba* (L.) Hassk. ($n=11$, $2n=22$)
Somatic complement with $2n=22$ has one pair of chromosomes with secondary constriction. Chromosomes are medium-sized ($1.5-2.5 \mu$).
3. *Wedelia chinensis* (Osbeck) Merrill ($n=25$, $2n=50$).
Somatic complement with $2n=50$ shows comparatively medium to short chromosomes ($1.1-2.2 \mu$).
4. *Synedrella nodiflora* (L.) Gaertn. ($n=20$, $2n=40$).
Somatic complement with $2n=40$ shows comparatively medium to short chromosomes, four chromosomes bearing secondary constrictions ($0.9-2.0 \mu$).
5. *Tridax procumbens* L. ($n=18$)
6. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. ($n=17$)
7. *Tithonia tagetiflora* Desf. ex Juss. ($n=17$)

Cytological studies of the members of the tribe *Helianthoideae* reveal some interesting features. All the species have been checked for nomenclatures and their citations are stated against each.

Tridax procumbens L., Sp. Pl. 900. 1753; Gamble, Fl. Madras: 711 (500). 1921.

Although this is a highly variable taxon widely distributed in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, yet it shows the same chromosome complement ($n=18$) both in India and abroad (Turner, Ellison & King, 1961; Powell, 1965; Mehra *et al.*, 1965; Harvey, 1966; Tandon and Bhalla, 1968; Powell and King, 1969; Gupta, 1969; Rogers, 1969; Bhattacharya & Sharma, 1970; Hsu, 1970; Torres and Liogier, 1970; Powell & Sikes, 1970; Solbrig, Kyhos, Powell and Raven, 1972; Singh, 1972; Mehra and Remanandan, 1974).

However, Turner *et al.* (1961) reported

$n=9$ in a diploid unnamed taxon having affinity with *Tridax procumbens*. That *T. procumbens* complex has evolved to tetraploid level with $n=18$ (Darlington & Wylie, 1955), is, therefore, of interest. It is easy to infer that tetraploidy confers on this species a great ecological plasticity to inhabit diverse niches and habitats—to account for its weedy nature within its range.

Wedelia chinensis (Osbeck) Merrill. in Philip. J. Sci. Bot. 12: 111. 1971.

Solidago chinensis Osbeck, Dagbok Ostind. Resa. 241. 1757.

Wedelia calendulaceae Less. Syn. Compos. 222. 1832; Hook. f. FBI. 3: 306; Prain B. P. 611. 1903.

The present report of $n=25$ and $2n=50$ confirms that of Ghosh (1962) and Koul (1964). However, another report of $2n=52$ by Bhattacharya & Sharma (1970) may be an instance of a cytotype with 26 chromosomes, assuming the duplication of a chromosome. The karyotype analysed here is more homogeneous than other genera of the *Helianthoideae*.

It is distributed in the wet places of West Bengal, Assam, Sylhet, the eastern and western Peninsula of India.

Synedrella nodiflora (L.) Gaertn. Fruct. 2: 456. t. 171 f. 7. 1791; Hooker f. FBI. 3: 308; Prain B. P. 615. 1903 & Rec. Bot. Surv. India 3(1): 229. 1905.

Verbesina nodiflora L. in Juslenius. Cent. 1. Pl. 20. 1753.

In this species $n=20$ and $2n=40$ have been determined. It is distributed in the cultivated places of Assam, West Bengal, Andaman Islands and Tropical America. The above report confirms that of Mehra and Remanandan (1974) from Punjab. However, some discrepant reports are $n=16$, 17 and 19 (Hsu, 1970; Bhattacharya and Sharma, 1970). Such a wide variation of chromosome reports of a highly evolved species with effective sexual reproduction is rare. Possibly aneuploid variation is pre-



Figs. 1-10: 1 & 10. *Eclipta alba* (L.) Hassk. showing somatic plate with $2n=22$ chromosomes & 11 bivalents in diakinesis. 2 & 4. *Wedelia chinensis* (Osbeck) Merr. showing somatic plate with $2n=40$ chromosomes and 25 bivalents in Metaphase I. 3 & 7. *Synedrella nodiflora* (L.) Gaertn. showing somatic plate with $2n=40$ chromosomes and 20 chromosomes in Metaphase I. 5. *Tridax procumbens* L. showing 18 bivalents in Metaphase I. 6. *Xanthium strumarium* L. showing 18 bivalents in Metaphase I. 8. *Tithonia tagetiflora* Desf. ex Juss. showing 17 chromosomes in Metaphase I. 9. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. showing 17 chromosomes in Anaphase I. (All the figures are drawn at a table magnification of approximately $\times 2500$ using a Carl Zeiss eye piece of $\times 12.5$. In the figures chromosomes with secondary constrictions are drawn by out line only and reduced to approximately one-third of the original.)

valent in this genus. However, karyotype analysis shows a general homogeneity in the presence of graded types of chromosomes.

Parthenium hysterophorus L. Sp. Pl. 988. 1953; Adams. Fl. Pl. Jamaica 751. 1972. This species, a native of North America, was recorded for India (HBC) as far back

as 1810 (Roxburgh, 1814) and subsequently by Rao (1956) from Pune and is considered now as a dangerous weed commonly known as 'Congress grass' (Bennet *et al.*, 1978). Our count of $n=17$ is in conformity with De Jang and Longpre (1963), Coleman (1968) and Powell and King (1969). However, a basic number $x=9$ for the genus has been recorded by Darlington & Wylie (*l.c.*). It may be assumed that on migration, either from Australia or North America, the weed has adapted itself to Indian soil with its present aneuploid number. The report by Thombre (1959) with $n=18$ from Western India needs further examination and scrutiny.

Eclipta alba (L.) Hassk. Pl. Jav. Rar. 528. 1848; Hooker f. FBI. 3: 304; Prain B. P. 610. 1903.

Verbesinia alba L. Sp. Pl. 902. 1753.

V. prostrata L. Sp. Pl. 902. 1753.

Eclipta prostrata (L.) Linn. Mant. 2: 286. 1771, Santapau in Bull. Bot. Surv. India 3: 16. 1961.

It shows $n=11$ and $2n=22$. Another population collected from Dankuni (Hooghly) has the same chromosome number. This species is distributed throughout India, and found even at certain altitudes of the Himalayas and other mountains. The above report has been given earlier by many authors from India and abroad (Miege, 1960; Mangenot & Mangenot, 1962; Mehra, Gill, Mehta and Sidhu, 1965; Turner & Flyer, 1966; Coleman, 1968; Gupta, 1969; Thakur and Singh, 1969; Strother, 1972; Singh, 1972; Turner, Powell & Watson, 1973).

From the chromosome number, it is assumed that this species is highly stable showing uniform chromosome reports in all the populations distributed throughout the world. The karyotype studied also show homogeneity with other members of *Helianthoideae* having graded types of chromosomes.

Tithonia tagetiflora Desf. ex Juss. in Ann. Mus. Par. 1:46 t. 4. 1802.

It shows $n=17$, earlier recorded by Mehra and Remanandan (1974) from the Western Himalayas. In view of the chromosome reports being the same both from western and eastern India, it may be assumed that the species is stable in India. This is a Mexican species immigrant.

Xanthium strumarium L. Sp. Pl. 987. 1953; Gamble Fl. Madras 702 (494). 1912.

Xanthium indicum Koenig ex Roxb., Fl. India 3: 601. 1832; Wight, Ic. t. 1104. 1846.

It is a cosmopolitan species worked out to show $n=18$, confirming an earlier count by a number of authors from India and abroad (Raghavan, 1957; Love & Danserean, 1959; Arano, 1964; Mehra *et al.*, 1965; Hsu, 1970; Majovsky *et al.*, 1970; Bhattacharya & Sharma, 1970; Fernandes & Queiros, 1971; Queiros, 1973).

The identical chromosome counts so far indicate that this species is highly stable.

CONCLUSION

The cytological analysis of the different members of *Helianthoideae* made from meiotic studies and in some cases from karyotypes show the following characteristic features within this group:

- (1) Chromosome numbers are generally high and their morphological structure is mainly symmetrical in the genera studied here.
- (2) Genera with aneuploid numbers are prevalent.
- (3) Karyotypes studied in different members show homogeneity.
- (4) It is difficult to ascertain the correctness of position of the subtribes of *Helianthoideae* as made by Hooker f. (*l.c.*) from cytological stand point.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are greatly indebted to Dr. G. Panigrahi, Ex Joint Director, Central Botanical Laboratory, Botanical Survey of India

for kindly going through the manuscript critically and for constructive suggestions and valuable improvements in the text.

REFERENCES

- ARANO, H. Cytotaxonomic studies in subfam. Cardoideae of Japanese Compositae XI. The Karyotype analysis in some species of *Artemisia*. *La Kromosome* 57-59 : 1883-1888. 1964.
- BELLING, J. A method for the study of chromosomes in pollen mother cells. *Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot.* 14 : 293-296. 1928.
- BENNET, S. S. R., H. B. NAITHANI AND M. B. RAIZADA. *Parthenium* L. in India—A review and history. *Indian Forester* 1(2) : 42-45. 1978.
- BENTHAM, G. AND J. D. HOOKER. *Genera Plantarum* 2(1) : 351-374. 1873.
- BHATTACHARYA, G. N. AND A. K. SHARMA. Cytological study of some members of Compositae. *Bull. Bot. Soc. Bengal* 24 : 31-36. 1970.
- COLEMAN, R. Chromosome number in some Brazilian Compositae. *Rhodora* 70 : 228-240. 1968.
- DARLINGTON, C. D. AND A. P. WYLIE. The chromosome atlas of Flowering plants. George Allen and Unwin Ltd., London. 1955.
- DE JONG, D. C. D. AND E. K. LONGPRE. Chromosome studies in Mexican Compositae. *Rhodora* 65 : 225-240. 1963.
- FERNANDES, A. AND M. QUEIROS. Contribution a la connaissance cytotoxonomique des *spermatophyta* du Portugal. II. Compositae. *Bol. Soc. Brot.* 45(ser. 2) : 5-122. 1971.
- GHOSH, R. B. A contribution to the life-history of *Wedelia calendulacea* Less. *Journ. Ind. Bot. Soc.* 41 : 197-206. 1962.
- GUPTA, P. K. Cytological investigations in some Indian Compositae. *Cytologia* 34 : 429-438. 1969.
- HARVEY, M. J. In : IOPB Chromosome number reports VII. *Taxon* 15 : 155-163. 1966.
- HOOKER, J. D. *Flora of British India* 3 : 302-311. 1882. L. Reeve and Co., London.
- HSU, C. C. Preliminary chromosome studies on the vascular plants of Taiwan (III). The aster family, Compositae. *Taiwania* 15 : 17-29. 1970.
- KOUL, M. L. H. Chromosome numbers in some medicinal Composites. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* 59B : 72-76. 1964.
- LOVE, D. AND P. DANSERAN. Biosystematic studies on *Xanthium*. Taxonomic appraisal and ecological status. *Canad. Journ. Bot.* 37 : 173-208. 1959.
- MANGENOT, S. AND G. MANGENOT. Enquete sur les nombres chromosomique dans une collection des espèces tropicales. *Rev. Cytol. Biol. Veg.* 25 : 411-447. 1962.
- MAJOVSKY, J. et al. Index of chromosome numbers of Slovakian flora (Part-1). *Acta Fac. Rerum Nat. Univ. Comenianae Bot.* 16 : 1-26. 1970.
- MEHRA, P. N., B. S. GILL, J. K. MEHTA AND S. S. SIDHU. Cytological investigations on the Indian Compositae. I. North Indian Taxa. *Caryologia* 18 : 35-68. 1965.
- MEHRA, P. N. AND R. REMANANDAN. Cytological investigations on the Indian Compositae. II. Astereae, Heliantheae, Helenieae and Anthemideae. *Caryologia* 27 : 255-289. 1974.
- MIE'GE, J. Troisième liste de nombres chromosomique de especes d'Afrique occidentale. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Dakar* 5 : 75-86. 1960.
- POWELL, A. M. Taxonomy of *Tridax* (Compositae). *Brittonia* 17 : 47-96. 1965.
- AND R. M. KING. Chromosome numbers in the Compositae : Colombian species. *Amer. Jour. Bot.* 56 : 116-121. 1969.
- AND S. SIKES. Chromosome numbers of some chihuahuan desert Compositae. *South W. Naturalist* 15 : 175-186. 1970.
- QUEIROS, M. Contributicao para o conhecimento citotaxonomico das *Spermatophyta* des Portugal. II. Compositae, *Suppl. I. Bol. Soc. Brot.* 47 (Ser. 2) : 299-314. 1973.
- RAO, R. S. *Parthenium* a new record for India. *Journ. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc.* 54 : 218-220. 1956.
- RAGHAVAN, R. S. Chromosome numbers in Indian Medicinal plants. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sec. B.* 45 : 294-298. 1957.
- ROGERS, S. Some observations on the reproduction of *Tridax procumbens* L. I. Pollen mother cells and pollen development. *Cytologia* 34 : 188-195. 1969.
- ROXBURGH, W. *Hortus Bengalensis*, Mission Press, Calcutta. 1814.
- SINGH, N. K. Cytological investigations in some Compositae of Bihar. *Proc. Indian Sci. Cong. Assoc.* 59 (III) : 355. 1972.
- SOLBRIG, O. T., D. W. KYHOS, M. POWELL AND P. H. RAVEN. Chromosome numbers in Compositae VIII. Heliantheae. *Amer. Journ. Bot.* 59 : 869-878. 1972.
- STROTHER, J. L. Chromosome studies in Western North American Compositae. *Ibid.* 59 : 242-247. 1972.
- TANDON, S. L. AND I. BHALLA. Cytological studies of the morphological variants of some Compositae. Portugaliae. *Acta Biol. Ser. A.* 10 : 95-98. 1968.
- THOMBRE, M. V. Chromosome numbers in some common flowering plants. *Curr. Sci.* 28 : 206-207. 1959b.
- THAKUR, V. AND N. P. SINGH. Cytology of *Eclipta alba* Hassk. *Journ. Indian Bot. Soc.* 47 : 206-210. 1969b.
- TORRES, A. M. AND A. H. LIOGIER. Chromosome numbers of Dominican Compositae. *Brittonia* 22 : 240-245. 1970.
- TURNER, B. L., W. L. ELLISON AND R. M. KING. Chromosome numbers in the Compositae. IV. North American species, with phyletic interpretations. *Amer. Journ. Bot.* 48 : 216-223. 1961.
- AND D. FLYR. Chromosome numbers in the Compositae. X. North American species. *Ibid.* 53 : 24-33. 1966.
- , A. M. POWELL AND T. J. WATSON, Jr. Chromosome numbers in Asteraceae. *Ibid.* 60 : 592-596. 1973.